Journal of Applied Polymer Science Nanoindentation analysis of 3D printed polylactic acid-based composites reinforced with graphene and multiwall carbon nanotubes --Manuscript Draft--

Full Title:	Nanoindentation analysis of 3D printed polylactic acid-based composites reinforced with graphene and multiwall carbon nanotubes
Manuscript Number:	app.20181104R1
Article Type:	Research Article
Order of Authors:	Todor Batakliev
	Vladimir Georgiev
	Evgeni Ivanov
	Rumiana Kotsilkova
	Rosa Di Maio
	Clara Silvestre
	Sossio Cimmino
Manuscript Classifications:	Biodegradable; Composites; Mechanical Properties; Nanotubes, Graphene and Fullerenes
Additional Information:	
Question	Response
Please provide the principal investigator's name and affiliation. (Principal investigator MUST be listed as a co- author on the submission; please DO NOT list all other co-authors in this section.)	Dr. Todor Batakliev, Open Laboratory on Experimental Micro and Nano Mechanics (OLEM), Institute of Mechanics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Acad. G. Bonchev Str. Block 4, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
Please submit a plain text version of your cover letter here. If you also wish to upload a file containing your cover letter, please note it here and upload the file when prompted to upload manuscript files.	I will upload the cover letter when prompted to upload manuscript files.
Please note, if you are submitting a revision of your manuscript, there is an opportunity for you to provide your responses to the reviewers later; please	

Nanoindentation analysis of 3D printed polylactic acid-based composites reinforced with graphene and multiwall carbon nanotubes

T. Batakliev¹, V. Georgiev², E. Ivanov^{1,2}, R. Kotsilkova¹, R. Di Maio³, C. Silvestre³, S. Cimmino³

¹Open Laboratory on Experimental Micro and Nano Mechanics (OLEM), Institute of Mechanics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Acad. G. Bonchev Str. Block 4, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

²Research and Development of Nanomaterials and Nanotechnologies (NanoTech Lab Ltd.), Acad. G. Bonchev Str. Block 4, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

³Istituto per i Polimeri, Compositi e Biomateriali (IPCB), Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR), Via Campi Flegrei 34 Olivetti, 80078 Pozzuoli (NA), Italy

Correspondence to: T. Batakliev (E-mail: todorbat@gmail.com)

Abstract

Influences of different nanocomposite loadings in polylactic acid matrix on resulting hardness and elasticity were examined in nanoindentation experiments. The following study was focused on the nanomechanical properties of polylactic acid (PLA) reinforced with graphene nanoplatelets (GNP) and multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) by using Berkovich type pyramidal nanoindentor. A masterbatch strategy was developed to disperse GNP and MWCNT into PLA by melt blending. The Young's modulus and the nanohardness of as prepared nanocomposites were characterized as a function of the graphene and carbon nanotubes loading. The nanoindentation analysis reveals that these carbon nanofillers improve the mechanical stability of the nanocomposites GNP/PLA, MWCNT/PLA and GNP/MWCNT/PLA. That improvement of mechanical properties strongly depends on the fillers content. It was found that the best mechanical performance was achieved for the compound having 6wt% graphene and 6wt% multiwall carbon nanotubes in the PLA matrix. The received values for nanohardness and Young's modulus are among the highest reported for PLA-based nanocomposites.

Introduction

With the increasing demand for environmentally friendly materials, polylactic acid (PLA) has attracted a lot of interests from both industry and scientists. Derived from renewable resources, PLA possesses nice features including biocompatibility, sustainability and biodegradability [1]. After graphene was discovered in 2004 [2], this new carbon material has received great attention because of its exceptional mechanical, electrical, and thermal properties [3]. Polymer nanocomposites based on graphene exhibit substantial properties enhancement at much lower filler loadings than polymer composites with conventional nanoscale fillers, such as glass [4] or carbon fibers [5], which finely results in simple compound processing. Moreover, the multifunctional properties enhancement of graphene containing products could create new applications of biodegradable polymers. The polylactic acid shows a high Young's modulus of around 3–4 GPa and a tensile strength between 50 and 70 MPa [6]. Nanoindentation is widely applied for the determination of mechanical properties [7–10] at micro and nano length scales. In recent article [11], the nanomechanical properties of 3D printed PLA and PLA-graphene composite have been evaluated by nanoindentation tests using Berkovich diamond indenter with tip radius of 100 nm. It has been found lower indenter displacement for the PLA-graphene sample compared to pure PLA at applied load of 500 µN.

In this work we have used instrumented nanoindentation technique, introduced by Oliver and Pharr [12, 13], for measuring hardness and elastic modulus defined directly from indentation load and displacement curves. Without need of high-resolution testing equipment that method has widely been adopted and applied in the characterization of mechanical behavior of materials at nanometer scales [14-17]. In our previous works [18, 19], it have been investigated the reinforcement effect of multiwall carbon nanotubes in polypropylene as well as the mechanical properties of bilayer graphene/poly(methyl methacrylate) thin films [20]. By applying nanoindentation force of 5 mN, it was established 20% improvement of the composite hardness for 0.3 wt% carbon nanotubes content and almost 50% increasing of rigidity for the composite having 3 wt% carbon nanotubes. In another article [21], it has been found that in polymer matrix MWCNTs are rather dispersed in small aggregates than in single nanotubes. The presence of aggregates leads to lower specific surface area and hinders the formation of framework structure, which is essential to improve mechanical properties. Thus, the main task of processing is to disperse the aggregates as much as possible. Therefore, uniform dispersion of the nanotubes is required to realize the potential of the nanotubes as reinforcing fillers [22, 23].

The aim and novelty of our present study is to verify the influence of graphene, carbon nanotubes and synergetic effect of their mixtures inserted in various concentrations into green polylactic acid matrix (PLA) on the surface mechanical properties, as hardness and elasticity. The nanoindentation tests were made on 3D printed samples, using FDM (fused deposition modeling) technology. 3D printed scaffold structures, produced from PLA, have been studied in advanced medical applications [24]. FDM technology has been applied for printing of cellulose reinforced PLA [25]. Due to layer-by-layer process the mechanical properties of the printed specimens are strongly influenced by the building direction, extrusion temperature and height of the layers but less significantly on the infill shape and printing speed [26, 27]. In order to achieve good repeatability in terms of the physical properties of the materials the samples have to be obtained under appropriate process parameters.

Experimental

Nanocomposites Preparation

The polylactic acid (PLA) polymer used in this study was IngeoTM Biopolymer PLA-3D850 (Nature Works) with MFR 7-9 g/10 min (210°C, 2.16 kg). Graphene Nanoplatelets (GNP) with purity > 99.5 wt% and average thickness of ~12 nm as well as multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) having purity > 95 wt% and diameter > 50 nm, were supplied from Times Nano, China. Mono-filler nanocomposites of GNP/PLA and MWCNT/PLA, as well as bi-filler systems GNP/MWCNT/PLA with ratio 50:50 of GNP to MWCNT as varying the filler contents from 0 to 12 wt% were prepared by melt extrusion. Platelets with geometry $60\times12\times2$ mm and very low roughness were prepared using FDM technique and layer-to-layer deposition. For production of this set of samples was used German RepRap X-400 Pro 3D printer with two printing heads, equipped with Simplify3D slicer. The specimens were obtained in flat build orientation under nozzle temperature 210°C, layer thickness 0.25 mm and printing speed of 2600 mm/min. All samples were stored in a vacuum package.

Characterization Methods

Nanoindentations were performed using Universal Nanomechanical Tester (UNMT) equipped with atomic force microscopy (AFM), produced by Bruker Surface Analysis, USA. The hardness and elastic modulus were calculated from the recorded load-displacement curves using Oliver-Pharr method [12]. Mechanical properties were directly determined by indentation load and displacement measurements without need to image the hardness impression. Load was measured as a function of penetration depth. Indenter type Berkovich

Diamond with tip radius of 70 nm was used for indentations in force control mode of 100 mN. Each test was repeated 48 times (4×12; spacing between indents 80 µm) to have statistical data. No surface smoothing was needed for the 3D printed samples designed for nanoindentation since each printed object adhered well enough to the printer bed and thus has got a sufficient smooth surface. A typical indentation experiment consists of the following steps: (i) approaching the surface, (ii) loading to the peak load of 100 mN for 15 s, (iii) holding the indenter at peak load for 10 s, (iv) unloading from maximum force of 100 mN to 10% for 15 s, (v) holding at 10% of max force for 15 s, and (vi) final complete unloading for 1 s (load function 15s-10s-15s trapezoid). The hold step was included to avoid the influence of the creep on the unloading characteristics since the unloading curve was used to obtain the elastic modulus of the material. The elucidation of the nanoindentation hardeness and Young's modulus was made through the above noticed Oliver-Fahr method [28] and every one value in the nanomechanical results was received by means of experimental loaddisplacement curves (Fig. 1). The nanoindentation tests were made at maximum force of 100 mN and retention time of 10 s in order to eliminate the viscoelastic contribution and to validate the Oliver-Pharr model.

The estimation of the nanomechanical properties through nanoindentation, perform an idealized and small volume of the 3D printed structures where the existence of porosity will have insignificant influence on the measurements. The resistance to displacement of GNP/PLA and MWCNT/PLA at fillers loading of 6 wt% comparing to neat PLA (Fig. 1) suggests the higher endurance to plastic strain in the reinforced samples.



Fig. 1. Representative load–displacement curves showing different penetration depth respectively at pure PLA, 6wt%GNP/PLA and 6wt%MWCNT/PLA nanocomposites.

The dispersion and microstructure of PLA-based nanocomposites were studied using a transmission electron microscope. The TEM analysis was performed by using a FEI TECNAI G12 Spirit-Twin (LaB6 source) equipped with a FEI Eagle-4k CCD camera, operating with an acceleration voltage of 120 kV. Before investigation, sections of the samples were cut at room temperature on a Leica EM UC6/FC6 ultramicrotome and placed on 400 mesh copper grids.

A scanning electron microscope was used for morphology observations. The SEM analysis was performed using a SEM FEI Quanta 200 FEG, Hillsboro, Oregon (USA). Before examination, the samples were coated with Au/Pd alloy using an E5 150 SEM coating unit, Polaron Equipment Ltd., Doylestown, Pennsylvania (USA).

Results and discussion

Nanomechanical Properties

The average values of hardness and Young's modulus depending on nanofiller concentration obtained from nanoindentation tests over single filled MWCNT/PLA and GNP/PLA composites are presented in Figure 2a and 2b.





Fig. 2. Mean values of (a) hardness and (b) Young's modulus of elasticity versus mono-filler content.

The results for hardness and elasticity have standard deviations which are higher in the case of the reinforced materials than the neat PLA. The level of dispersion of the experimental results is significant for the sensibility of the indenter to the inhomogeneity of the composite structure, produced by the presence of MWCNT or GNP, comparing to the pristine biopolymer. The experimental errors are within the range ± 5 to ± 12 MPa for the hardness and ± 0.048 to ± 0.257 GPa for the Young's modulus. It can be observed that 6 wt% addition of MWCNT or GNP in the PLA matrix is giving best results for nanomechanical properties. The lower values for 9 and 12 wt% mono–filled nanocomposites could be explained with the formation of aggregates in the PLA structure, resulting in worst carbon nanofiller dispersion and therefore having lower hardness and elasticity. The exception in this trend is the Young's modulus of elasticity of GNP/PLA nanocomposites that is almost identical for all graphene loaded samples. It could be due to higher exfoliation degree of graphene nanoplatelets in the PLA matrix.



Fig. 3. Hardness (a) and Young's modulus (b) of bi-filler GNP/MWCNT/PLA systems as varying both fillers content and ratio.

Fig. 3 presents two pillar graphs containing mechanical properties data of nine melt blended, extruded as filaments and 3D-printed nanocomposite systems, all have been compared to 3D-printed PLA as reference. The nanocomposites consist of two carbon nanofillers dispersed in the polymeric base, having different ratios at every compound. By means of precise nanoindentation tests it was found that the sample possessing 6 wt% GNP combined with 6 wt% MWCNT in the PLA structure has better hardness and elasticity than the other samples with 12 wt% nanocomposite loading as well as regarding the whole series of nanocomposites. It should be remarked the excellent experimental values for the sample having 6 wt% GNP and 3 wt% MWCNT and especially its high Young' modulus. The nanocomposite systems holding equal fillings of 1.5 and 3 wt% GNP and MWCNT also have impressive mechanical properties – above 30% improvement of hardness and above 20% improvement of elasticity compared to pure PLA. Among the nanocomposites at loading of 6 wt% the sample 1.5wt%GNP/4.5wt%MWCNT/PLA shows best hardness that could be explained with good dispersion of the carbon nanotubes as well as the graphene nanoplatelets in the PLA matrix bringing rigidity to the structure. This suggestion was confirmed by TEM micrographs represented in Fig. 4.



Fig. 4. TEM images of nanocomposite 1.5wt%GNP/4.5wt%MWCNT/PLA.

Good improvement of hardness and elasticity up to 50% could be seen on Fig. 5 for the bifiller nanocomposite systems at 3 wt%, 6 wt% and 12 wt% total bifiller contents, incorporating equal loadings of GNP and MWCNT in the PLA matrix. The nanocomposite having in its structure by 6 wt% of each nanofiller (12 wt% overall loading) exhibits best mechanical properties compared to pure PLA. In both Figures 5 (a) and 5 (b) there is significant growth of Young's modulus and rigidity, starting from neat PLA and reaching up to the highest nanocomposite loading. Two selected SEM images of the mixed nanocomposite 6wt%GNP/6wt%MWCNT/PLA disclose high degree of structure porosity and good uniform insertion of carbon nanofillers in the PLA matrix (Fig. 6).



Fig. 5. Hardness (a) and Young's modulus (b) of bi-filler GNP/MWCNT/PLA systems with 50:50 loading ratio of both nanofillers.

Apparently the combination of the two nanofillers (MWCNT and GNP) in the PLA matrix leads to creation of contact network between MWCNT and GNP aggregates (with different size distribution) and also to well dispersed carbon nanofillers. That is a fact providing the opportunity of unique synergetic effect for improvement of nanomechanical properties of the bi-modified composites as proofed by using of the TEM and SEM techniques.



Fig. 6. SEM images of nanocomposite 6wt%GNP/6wt%MWCNT/PLA.

Conclusions

The nanoindentation tests were made on 3D printed exceptionally smooth samples as an important precondition for reliable results.

It is reasonable to conclude that the significant improvement of mechanical properties for PLA-based nanocomposites is attributed to the reinforcement effect of carbon fillers intercalated homogeneously in PLA matrix.

It could be noticed that the equal loadings in nanocomposite compounds have given good and stable contribution to the nanomechanical properties of polylactic acid.

The TEM micrographs of the nanocomposite 1.5wt%GNP/4.5wt%MWCNT/PLA support its excellent mechanical properties, showing that the carbon nanofillers were uniformly dispersed in the PLA matrix and no obvious aggregation was observed.

Acknowledgements

This work has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020-MSCA-RISE-734164 Graphene 3D Project and by the H2020-Graphene FET Flagship - Core 1 - 696656 (2016-2018).

The author would like to acknowledge the contribution of the COST Action CA15107.

Support for COST CA15107 – contract DKOST 01/7, 20.06.2017 with NSF-MER of Bulgaria is also acknowledged.

The authors are grateful to Gennaro Rollo from CNR, Naples, Italy for his contribution in the process of nanocomposites preparation.

References

- [1] Gao, Y., Picot, O. T., Bilotti, E., Peijs, T. European Polymer Journal 2017, 86, 117-131.
- [2] Novoselov, K. S., Geim, A. K., Morozov, S. V., Jiang, D., Zhang, Y., Dubonos, S. V. *Science* **2004**, *306*, 666-669.
- [3] Kim, H., Abdala, A. A., Macosko, C. W. Macromolecules 2010, 43, 6515-6530.
- [4] Courgneau, C., Domenek, S., Lebossée, R., Guinault, A., Avéerous, L. and Ducruet, V. *Polym. Int.* **2012**, *61* (2), 180-189.
- [5] Kong, Y. X., Yuan, J., Wang, Z. M. and Qiu, J. Polym. Compos. 2012, 33 (9), 1613-1619.
- [6] Anderson, K. S., Schreck, K. M., Hillmyer, M. A. Polym. Rev. 2008, 48 (1), 85–108.
- [7] Lim, Y. Y., Chaudhri, M. M., Mech. Mater. 2006, 38, 1213–1228.
- [8] Charitidis, C. Indust. Eng. Chem. Res. 2011, 50 (2), 565–570.
- [9] Khun, N. W., Cheng, H. K. F., Li, L., Liu, E., J. Polym. Eng. 2015, 35 (4), 367-376.
- [10] Huang, C. C., Wei, M. K., Harmon, J. P., Lee, S. J. Mater. Res. 2012, 27, 2746–2751.
- [11] Bustillos, J., Montero, D., Nautiyal, P., Loganathan, A., Boesl, B., Agarwal, A. *Polymer Composites* **2017**, *149*, 103-111.
- [12] Oliver, W. C., Pharr, G. M. J. Mater. Res. 1992, 7 (6), 1564-1583.
- [13] Pharr, G. M., Oliver, W. C., Brotzen, F. R. J. Mater. Res. 1992, 7 (3), 613-617.
- [14] Hainsworth, S. V., McGurk, M. R., Page, T. F., Surf. Coat. Technol. 1998, 102, 97-107.
- [15] Tabbal, M., Merel, P., Chaker, M., El Khakani, M. A., Herbert, E. G., Lucas, B. N. and O'Hern, M. E. J. Appl. Phys. **1999**, 85 (7), 3860-3865.
- [16] Randall, N. X. Philos. Mag. A 2002, 82 (10), 1883-1892.
- [17] Saha, R. and Nix, W. D. Acta Mater. 2002, 50 (1), 23-38.
- [18] Ivanov, E., Kotsilkova, R. In Handbook of Nanoceramic and Nanocomposite Coatings and Materials; **2015**; Chapter 17, pp 357-388.
- [19] Petrova, I., Ivanov, E., Kotsilkova, R., Tsekov, Y., Angelov, V. *Journal of Theoretical* and Applied Mechanics **2013**, 43 (3), 67-76.
- [20] Kotsilkova, R., Todorov, P., Ivanov, E., Kaplas, T., Svirko, Y., Paddubskaya, A., Kuzhir, P. *Carbon* 2016, *100*, 355-366.
- [21] Park, W. K., Kim, J. H. Macromol. Res. 2005, 13, 206–211.
- [22] Song, Y. S., Youn, J. R. Carbon 2005, 43, 1378–1385.
- [23] Song, Y. S., Youn, J. R. Polymer 2006, 47, 1741–1748.
- [24] Souness, A., Zamboni, F., Walker, G. M., Collins, M. N. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B Applied Biomaterials 2018, 106 (2), 533-545.
- [25] Murphy, C. A., Collins, M. N. Polymer Composites 2018, 39 (4), 1311-1320.

[26] Chacon, J. M., Caminero, M. A., Garcia-Plaza, E., Nunez, P. J. *Materials & Design* **2017**, *124*, 143-157.

[27] Alafaghani, A., Qattawi, A., Alrawi, B., Guzman, A., *Procedia Manufacturing* **2017**, *10*, 791–803.

[28] Oliver, W. C., Pharr, G. M. J. Mater. Res. 2004, 19, 3-20.





Nanocomposite loading,%



















Fig. 1. Representative load–displacement curves showing different penetration depth respectively at pure PLA, 6wt%GNP/PLA and 6wt%MWCNT/PLA nanocomposites.

Fig. 2. Mean values of (a) hardness and (b) Young's modulus of elasticity versus mono-filler content.

Fig. 3. Hardness (a) and Young's modulus (b) of bi-filler GNP/MWCNT/PLA systems as varying both fillers content and ratio.

Fig. 4. TEM images of nanocomposite 1.5wt%GNP/4.5wt%MWCNT/PLA.

Fig. 5. Hardness (a) and Young's modulus (b) of bi-filler GNP/MWCNT/PLA systems with 50:50 loading ratio of both nanofillers.

Fig. 6. SEM images of nanocomposite 6wt%GNP/6wt%MWCNT/PLA.